1 2 3 4 5	Adam R. Pechtel / WSBA #43743 Pechtel Law PLLC 21 N Cascade St Kennewick, WA 99336 Telephone: (509) 586-3091 Attorney for Plaintiffs	
6 7	United States District Court Eastern District of Washington Before the Hon. Salvador Mendoza Jr.	
8 9	Reginald Blair et al., Plaintiffs,	No. 2:19-CV-00083-SMJ
10 11 12 13	v. Soap Lake Natural Spa & Resort LLC et al., Defendants.	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants' Response to Motion for Leave of Court to Amend Answer to Counterclaim by Adding an Anti-SLAPP Affirmative Defense
14151617181920	Come now Plaintiffs, through their counsel of record, and reply to Defendants' response to their motion to amend. ECF Nos. 139, 141. Defendants argue that "immunity from civil liability" under RCW 4.24.510 does not apply to counterclaims because they are protected by litigation privilege. ECF No. 141 at 2-4. Defendants cite to a California appellate case without any explaining why	
	Reply - 1	

Washington's anti-SLAPP statute should be interpreted the same way as California's anti-SLAPP statute. *Id.* at 3:16-4:3. Defendants cite to *Deatherage v. Examining Bd. of Psych.*, 134 Wn.2d 131, 135, 948 P.2d 828 (1997) for the definition of "litigation privilege." *Id.* at 3:2-6. But that case involved the privilege being applied to an expert witness and his testimony, not a party and her counterclaim. *Id.* The rule that applies to parties in litigation is stated in the Restatement (Second) of Torts:

A party to a private litigation ... is absolutely privileged <u>to publish defamatory matter</u> concerning another in communications ... during the course and as a part of, a judicial proceeding in which he participates, if the matter has some relation to the proceeding.

Id. at Parties to Judicial Proceedings, § 587 (1977) (emphasis added). Defendants' civil conspiracy counterclaim is not a defamation claim so the litigation privilege does not apply. The critical distinction is that Defendants' counterclaims are not merely insulting, harmful or offensive statements made in the course of litigation. They are legal acts with independent legal significance – they state "claims" that can lead to "civil liability." If the Court grants the litigation privilege to Defendants, Washington's anti-SLAPP statute would cease to function. The Court should not grant Defendants litigation privilege.

Reply - 3

Reply - 4

SERVICE CERTIFICATE 1 2 I certify that July 15, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with 3 the District Court Clerk using the CM/ECF System, which will send notification of such filing to the following: 4 5 Jerry Moberg, Attorney for Defendants 6 7 James Baker, Attorney for Defendants 8 9 s/Adam R. Pechtel Adam R. Pechtel/ WSBA #43743 10 Attorney for Plaintiffs Pechtel Law PLLC 11 21 N Cascade St Kennewick, WA 99336 12 Telephone: (509) 586-3091 Email: adam@pechtellaw.com 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

Reply - 5